

Traffic and Parking Advisory Board

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88534144691?pwd=NHBNSINBMVhHb0pXa1ZRalRycjFHZzO9>

August 25th, 2020 at 5:30 PM

Minutes

Members in attendance: John Coccozza (SE), Gordon Froehlich (NW), Tim Prüesener (DPB), Katie Matchett (NE), Jane Nobbs (PBTC), Jim Morrison (PBPG), Regina Sinsky Crosby (beautifulPB), Billy Ramirez (DPB)

Guest in attendance: Sara Berns, Jordan Beane, Becca Kuntz

Meeting called to order at 5:35 pm

Agenda Items

Introductions

Jane Nobbs made a motion to approve minutes from of August 11, 2020 meeting. Regina Sinsky-Crosby seconded motion. Motion approved (7/0/0)

Pilot Parking Program

Continued Q&A and discussion on Parking Program details

S. Berns reported to group that she did receive some answers to the split questions including there is no set percentage to the administrative portion and that the 45/55 split could be modified if the Parking Districts request specific project funding in their budget

J. Nobbs asked if we would get more than 45% possibly then? S. Berns said yes, because the project budget comes out of the budget before the split.

G. Froehlich talked about seeing parking taking up on side streets and said the parking will cause issues on side streets.

G. Froehlich suggested putting this all off until after COVID when we can meet in person.

R. Sinsky-Crosby said we need to move forward with this for the revenue for the community.

S. Berns reviewed the decisions from previous meeting including location and reviewed map and informed the group her staff is working to include the private lots on the map as requested

R. Sinsky-Crosby said she just really wants to know the location mainly.

J. Coccozza asked if the number on the map reflected the current number of spaces with all the parking spaces taken out from parklets.

S. Berns- no but can get that number included

K. Matchett said she thinks it would be helpful to include paid public lots, but also we should include other parking that is not paid as well

Discuss and Approve Draft Outcome Indicators of Program

S.Berns reviewed the goals and measurable outcomes as discussed at the previous meeting. Stated the group needs to consider what can be measured and who would volunteer to do projects.

R. Sinsky-Crosby and A. Huntington had worked on quality of life survey as requested last meeting for review

Discussion incurred on whether a quality of life measurement appropriate for this committee or should a more specific residential impact survey be created.

K.Matchett - to do a residential parking count would be difficult but possible. You just have to count, and we need the bodies. She asked if G. Froehlich could specify residential concerns in that area.

J. Morrison - suggested there could be a survey for the community members after a 3 month time period to ask for a follow up, - there are firms that do that kind of thing for nominal amounts

J.Corcozza - suggested questions like "is it hard to find parking" "typically how many blocks do you have to walk?"

R.Sinsky-Crosby - you should also ask things like "do you have a car?" "do you have a garage?"

J. Corcozza addressed follow up

J. Corcozza - Any negative impact on businesses? But that is hard with the pandemic going on so we wouldn't be able to tell exactly.

SB - we could pick 3 different types of businesses that have been in the area for the while and compare multiple years.

R.Sinsky-Crosby & J.Corcozza volunteer to work on impact survey.

JM motioned to approve proposed outcome and measurements with the changes made. T.Pruessener endorsed the motion. Motion carried (7/0/1 - GF abstaining)

Discuss and Approve Community Outreach Strategy -

S. Berns reviewed power point presentation outline for community outreach and suggested meeting to present to.

J. Corcozza and others discussed being specific to admin costs, G. Froehlich referred group to municipal code. J. Beane will meet with staff to provide this information. The group reviewed possible projects that could be funded and edited them down. It was suggested to only include 2-3 plausible projects.

K.Matchett and J. Morrison suggested more slides regarding goals of a parking district in regards to easing congestion and creating more space by encouraging use of private lots. The group reviewed community group meetings and dates as well as suggested target group meets including Garnet Ave businesses.

Language edits were made to presentation.

This action was moved to continue at next meeting.

TP motioned to approve the next meeting date for Sept 1st. JM seconded. Motion passed (6/1/1 GF abstaining, JC opposing).

Next meeting time 5:30 pm on September 1st, 2020

Adjourned at 7:03pm